



DSIAC TECHNICAL INQUIRY (TI) RESPONSE REPORT

Means to Determine Heat Capacities of Multilayer Insulation Materials

Report Number:

DSIAC-2019-1156

Completed August 2019

DSIAC is a Department of Defense
Information Analysis Center

MAIN OFFICE

4695 Millennium Drive
Belcamp, MD 21017-1505

Office: 443-360-4600

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Doyle Motes, P.E.¹ and Taylor Hegeman²

1 – Texas Research Institute Austin, Inc.

2 – DSIAC

Information contained in this report does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Department of Defense or any nonfederal entity or technology sponsored by a nonfederal entity.

DSIAC is sponsored by the Defense Technical Information Center, with policy oversight provided by the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. DSIAC is operated by the SURVICE Engineering Company.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.
DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 27-08-2019		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Research Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Means to Determine Heat Capacities of Multilayer Insulation Materials				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER FA8075-14-D-0001	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Doyle Motes and Taylor Hegeman				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Systems Information Analysis Center (DSIAC) SURVICE Engineering Company 4695 Millennium Drive Belcamp, MD 21017-1505				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER DSIAC-2019-1156	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) 8725 John J. Kingman Rd. Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Materials and Manufacturing Processes: Materials/Processes for Survivability and Life Extension; Advanced Materials					
14. ABSTRACT Defense Systems Information Analysis Center (DSIAC) received a concerning specific heats of multilayer insulation (MLI) materials used in space adhesives. The inquirer was looking for the specific heats of MLI materials and space adhesives over a temperature range of -150°C to 250°C (123 K to 523 K). In particular, the inquirer was asking for efficient and cost-effective ways to determine the specific heat of various materials. Materials in question were samples of Sheldahl indium tin oxide-coated silvered fluorinated ethylene propylene, with a layer of Polyonics aluminum tape. DSIAC contacted a subcontractor, Texas Research Institute Austin, Inc. (TRI-Austin), for subject matter expert (SME) input. The following report was completed by a TRI-Austin SME. Other SMEs provided input, including those at Johns Hopkins University, NASA, and AVID R&D, LLC. Options for obtaining specific heat such as Water Bath, Rule of Mixtures, and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) are described with an assessment of the options and a final recommendation of using DSC.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS multilayer insulation, MLI, specific heat, heat capacity, indium tin oxide, ITO, water bath, rule of mixtures, differential scanning calorimetry, DSC					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: U			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
			UU	9	Ted Welsh, DSIAC Director
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 443-360-4600

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

ABOUT DTIC AND DSIAC

The Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) collects, disseminates, and analyzes scientific and technical information to rapidly and reliably deliver knowledge that propels development of the next generation of Warfighter technologies. DTIC amplifies the U.S. Department of Defense's (DoD's) multibillion dollar annual investment in science and technology by collecting information and enhancing the digital search, analysis, and collaboration tools that make information widely available to decision makers, researchers, engineers, and scientists across the Department.

DTIC sponsors the DoD Information Analysis Center's (IAC's) program, which provides critical, flexible, and cutting-edge research and analysis to produce relevant and reusable scientific and technical information for acquisition program managers, DoD laboratories, Program Executive Offices, and Combatant Commands. The IACs are staffed by, or have access to, hundreds of scientists, engineers, and information specialists who provide research and analysis to customers with diverse, complex, and challenging requirements.

The Defense Systems Information Analysis Center (DSIAC) is a DoD IAC sponsored by DTIC to provide expertise in nine technical focus areas: weapons systems; survivability and vulnerability; reliability, maintainability, quality, supportability, and interoperability; advanced materials; military sensing; autonomous systems; energetics; directed energy; and non-lethal weapons. DSIAC is operated by SURVICE Engineering Company under contract FA8075-14-D-0001.

A chief service of the DoD IACs is free technical inquiry (TI) research, limited to 4 research hours per inquiry. This TI response report summarizes the research findings of one such inquiry jointly conducted by DSIAC.

ABSTRACT

Defense Systems Information Analysis Center (DSIAC) received a concerning specific heats of multilayer insulation (MLI) materials used in space adhesives. The inquirer was looking for the specific heats of MLI materials and space adhesives over a temperature range of -150°C to 250°C (123 K to 523 K). In particular, the inquirer was asking for efficient and cost-effective ways to determine the specific heat of various materials. Materials in question were samples of Sheldahl indium tin oxide-coated silvered fluorinated ethylene propylene, with a layer of Polyonics aluminum tape. DSIAC contacted a subcontractor, Texas Research Institute Austin, Inc. (TRI-Austin), for subject matter expert (SME) input. The following report was completed by a TRI-Austin SME. Other SMEs provided input, including those at Johns Hopkins University, NASA, and AVID R&D, LLC. Options for obtaining specific heat such as Water Bath, Rule of Mixtures, and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) are described with an assessment of the options and a final recommendation of using DSC.

Contents

ABOUT DTIC AND DSIAC.....i

ABSTRACT.....ii

List of FiguresError! Bookmark not defined.

List of Tables.....iii

1.0 TI Request.....1

 1.1 INQUIRY 1

 1.2 DESCRIPTION 1

2.0 TI Response.....1

 2.1 HEAT CAPACITY..... 1

 2.2 MLI MATERIAL 1

 2.3 OPTIONS TO DETERMINE SPECIFIC HEAT..... 2

 2.4 RECOMMENDATIONS 3

REFERENCES.....4

List of Tables

Table 1: Contents of the ITO-coated silvered FEP [2]. 2

1.0 TI Request

1.1 INQUIRY

What are means to efficiently and cost effectively determine the heat capacities of small amounts of material?

1.2 DESCRIPTION

The inquirer requested a review of the available means to efficiently and cost effectively determine the heat capacity of small amounts of materials used as part of multilayer insulation (MLI) materials.

2.0 TI Response

The Defense Systems Information Analysis Center (DSIAC) received support from subject matter experts (SMEs) at Johns Hopkins University, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), AVID R&D, LLC, and Texas Research Institute Austin (TRI Austin). DSIAC and Georgia Tech Research Institute (GTRI) completed literature searches on the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) Research and Engineering (R&E) Gateway and other scientific and technical information (STI) sources to find articles relevant to the inquiry.

2.1 HEAT CAPACITY

Heat capacity (also known as specific heat or specific heat capacity) is defined as the amount of energy necessary to increase the temperature of a material by a single degree (J/kg·K). It can be nonconstant depending on the environment the material is in. (Typically, as the environmental temperature increases, the amount of energy needed to further increase a unit of material by a single degree increases.) Heat capacity is usually defined as the specific heat at constant pressure (c_p) or specific heat at constant volume (c_v), depending on how the unit of material is confined; the value of c_v is usually less than c_p . This difference is particularly notable in gases where specific heat values at c_p are 33–66% greater than those at c_v [1].

2.2 MLI MATERIAL

The MLI material of interest was Sheldahl indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated silvered fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) (2-mil [0.002-in.] thick). Some of the samples also had Polyonics aluminum tape with a pressure-sensitive adhesive (2-mil [0.002-in.] thick) on the back.

SMEs at Johns Hopkins University stated that the ITO-coated silvered FEP consists of the materials listed in Table 1 [2].

Table 1: Contents of the ITO-coated silvered FEP [2].

Material	Specific Heat J/(kg·K)	Thickness
FEP (ASTM D-3368)	1,172	2 mil
Silver	240	1,500 Å
Inconel	429	275 Å
Conductive Acrylic 3M™9703 Adhesive	Unknown	2 mil

Note that the properties listed in Table 1 are not temperature/pressure dependent and that the Inconel is assumed to be Inconel 625. For the aluminum (Al) tape with the pressure-sensitive adhesive, material properties for the Al are fairly easy to identify in existing literature, but without further information, the properties of the adhesive are impossible to determine.

2.3 OPTIONS TO DETERMINE SPECIFIC HEAT

There are several options available to determine temperature-dependent specific-heat properties of a material depending on what it is required for and the specifics of the temperature range.

1. Water Bath. With this method, a known mass of the material is placed into either an ice-water or boiling-water bath for 5–10 minutes. Once the material has reached thermal equilibrium with the bath, a known amount of the bath is measured out to determine its temperature. Next, the hot or cold material is placed quickly into the water, and the resulting temperature change is measured. From this method, the specific heat can be calculated from the energy change measured (water has a known specific heat) and the mass of the material used. This method is a rudimentary way to determine a specific-heat value over a temperature range from 273–373 K (encompassing the freezing and boiling points of water).

To achieve the full temperature range desired by the inquirer (-150°C to 250°C), a cold source, such as a liquid nitrogen bath, can be used to measure the specific heat at -150°C. An acetone and dry ice bath at thermal equilibrium can be used to measure the material specific heat at -75°C. Finally, results from using boiling water to determine the specific heat at 100°C should be close enough to 175°C to assume linearity between the previous temperatures and determine the specific heat at this higher temperature.

This experiment provides the most accurate temperature-dependent properties possible for a relatively easy and quick way of testing. The caveat with this experiment is that it does not necessarily provide the most accurate results and also may require time/funding to develop the test setup.

2. Rule of Mixtures. With this method, if the constituents of an unknown alloy or compound can be determined (such as by using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy [EDS]), such as the contents of an Al alloy, then the Rule of Mixtures can be used to determine the specific heat. The Rule of Mixtures can be defined as:

$$C_{p,overall} = X_1C_{p1} + X_2C_{p2} + \dots + X_yC_{py} ,$$

where c_p is the specific heat; 1, 2, and y denote the species; and x denotes the individual species fraction. This method provides a reasonable, first-order approximation of the bulk specific heat of a material. However, the main limitation is that using this method assumes total insolubility, or that each constituent solely occupies its own phase. In reality there will be some (or total) solubility, or there will also be intermetallic phases that have different thermophysical properties than their constituents. The next step is to determine what phases may be present (by looking at phase diagrams, etc.) and their material properties. In addition, it is unknown how appropriate this method would be for the different polymers of the MLI.

3. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). DSC is a thermoanalytical technique in which the difference in the amount of heat required to increase the temperature of a sample and reference is measured as a function of temperature. Both the sample and reference are maintained at nearly the same temperature throughout the experiment. Generally, the temperature program for a DSC analysis is designed such that the sample holder temperature increases linearly as a function of time. The reference sample should have a well-defined heat capacity over the range of temperatures to be scanned [3].

2.4 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the options available, the DSC option is recommended. There are numerous machines available from laboratory service firms that conform to ASTM and ISO 9000 standards that offer the precision that the inquirer is seeking. Using a water bath is problematic as it will take time and labor to setup and operate and may not provide the degree of precision required. The Rule of Mixtures method makes many assumptions and would require the use of equipment that may not be available. Sending samples out for the DSC would provide the most accurate results for the least cost and time and DSC can address the temperature range required. An example of expected results is shown in the paper “Heat Capacities of Technetium Metal and Technetium-Ruthenium Alloy” [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] Nave, R. "Specific Heat." HyperPhysics. Georgia State University. <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/thermo/spht.html>, accessed 20 August 2019.
- [2] Owens, D. Personal communication. Johns Hopkins University Energetics Research Group, Columbia, MD, 2019.
- [3] Höhne, G., W.F. Hemminger, and H.-J. Flammersheim. *Differential Scanning Calorimetry*. 2nd Edition. Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.
- [4] Shirasu, Y. and K. Minato. "Heat Capacities of Technetium Metal and Technetium-Ruthenium Alloy." *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 337, no. 1-2, pp. 243–247, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0925838801019338>, 2002.